### INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

### MCDR inspection REPORT

### Hyderabad regional office

	Mine	file No : AP/NLG/LST-1	L0/1	HYD	Mine	code :	38APR14009
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	MQ17 ) MANISH K MAINDIRAT	ТА		
	(ii)	Designation	:	Regional Controller Mines			
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Shri Jwannes reddy and Shri	Prasa	ad	
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	18/02/2020			
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	10/01/2019			
		PA	RT-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION			
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	SRISANKARA			
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/6499/2011			
	(c) (d) (e)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone	: : : :	A Mechanised Opencast TELANGANA NALGONDA MELLACHERUVU MELLACHERUVU 508246 91-40-23076543 keerthi.mines2014@gmail.com 040-23076543,23076539			
	( )	Police Station		MELLACHERUVU			
	(g)	First opening date		26/04/1986			
2.		Weekly day of rest ess for espondance		SUN MELLACHERVU VILLAGE & MANDA NALGONDA DISTRICT A.P	L		
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	:	APR0098 141.7 20 23/04/2006			
4.	Mine	ral worked	:	LIMESTONE Main			

5. Name and Addr	ress of the	
Lessee	:	KEERTHI INDUSTRIES LTD
		PLOT.NO.40, IDA ,BALANAGAR
		HYDERABAD TELANGANA
		Phone:040-23076538,23076539
		FAX :040-23076543
Owner	:	J.SESHAGIRI RAO
		M/S. KEERTHI INDUSRIES
		LTD., PLOT NO. 40, IDA,
		BALANAGAR HYDERABAD-37 HYDERABAD TELANGANA
		Phone: 040-23076538
		FAX : 040-23076543
Agent	:	Varkala Seenaiah
		M/S. KEERTHI INDUSTRIES LTD
		MELLACHERUVU (V) & (M) NALGONDA DIST. NALGONDA
		TELANGANA
		Phone:
		FAX :
Mining	Engineer	
Name	:	G MAHENDRA,Full Time
Qualifi	cation :	B TECH MINING
		06/08/2018
Termina	ation date	
Manager	2	
Name	:	D Jwannes Reddy
Qualifi	cation :	B TECH MINING
	tment/ : ation date	22/07/2016
6. Date of appro	oval of Min:	ing : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining
6. Date of approval of Mining
7. Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988
7. Modif.of approved Mining Plan
7. Modif.of approved Mining Plan
7. Modif.of under rule 12 MCDR1988
7. Modif.of approved Mining Plan
7. Modif.of under 17(3) MCR 2016
7. Modif.of under 17(3) MCR 2016

#### PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	2018-19= 8 core boreholes proposed. 2019-20= 7 DTH proposed	2018-19= NIL 2019-20= NIL so far.	Violation 11(1) and rule 12(3)
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Drilling proposed to ugrade the level of G axis	G1=39.37 ha. G2=14.21 ha. G4=68.12 ha.	
lc	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Drilling proposed	2018-19 NIL	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Proposed to upgrade level of exploration	As of now the area under G2=14.21 ha. And G4=68.12 ha. Need to be explored to G1 level of exploration.	
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Reserves as on 6/10/2017 111-2.835 mT 122=3.442mT 211-18.379 mT 334=49.293mT	Depletion 6/10/2017- 31/3/2018= 0.268 mT 2018-19 = 0.646=mT Balance reserves as on 1/4/2019= 111=2.139mT 211=0.147mT	About (80mx 50mx3m)has been developed in G4 area i.e, about 30000T has been taken from it.
lf	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc		The water table in the area is at 70mRL. The permission of CGWB is required for extending the pit deeper. Lease renewal has not been done since 23.04.2006.Lease applied on 24.01.2005. Mine is ever since working under deemed renewal and lease period is deemed to have extended upto 2036. The formal order and the supplementary deed has still not been issued.	

## Development :

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

2a Location of 2018-19 2018-19 The water seepage in pit-2 need to development In Pit-1, The working in Pit-1 is w.r.t.lease area working was broadly as per proposal. be examined for proposed in 3 The lessee is the cause and the permission of CGWB benches undertaking development need to be taken between work for new pit in gridline eastern block. in the case. E4850-E5300, N9650-10050 to a depth of 81 mRL. Further it was proposed to develop a new pit in eastern block between E6080-6180, N10550-10650 upto a depth of 78 mrl. 2b Separate benches 2018-19=3041 However in 2018-19- no in topsoil, cu m proposed. top soil generation has overburden and been reported. minerals (Rule 15) The top soil is worked separately wherever possible in 2019-20 so far. 2c Stripping ratio 2018-19= 1:0 as per proposal in 2018or ore to OB 19 ratio 2019-20= 1811 T 2d Quantity of 2018-19=3041 However in 2018-19- no topsoil cu m proposed. top soil generation has (1500 cu m) generation in m3 been reported. generated so far.

2e Quantity of Nil Nil overburden generation in m3

2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc

The development in pit1 is restricted due to the presence of water table, as the lessee has not got the permission from the competent authority for extending the working deeper after extraction of water
table. In the eastern block, work is to be done after
completing the exploration to G1 level of exploration.
The work is done in Pit- 1 with 3 benches of
about 8m height and pit width is suffecient for movement of machinery.
However, vibration study is to be done to ensure safety of temple near by

# Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	2018-19 In Pit-1, working was proposed in 3 benches between gridline E4850-E5300, N9650-10050 to a depth of 81 mRL. Further it was proposed to develop a new pit in eastern block between E6080-6180, N10550-10650 upto a depth of 78 mrl.	2018-19 The working in Pit-1 is broadly as per proposal.	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	2018-19-737075 T	2018-19= 646400 T	
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	was proposed	Entire mineral produced is consumed.	

3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No mineral reject generation anticipated in 2018-19	Nil generated in 2018-19	
3е	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	-	Not Applicable	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	-	-	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	-	Not Applicable	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	_	Not Applicable	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No proposal	Not Applicable	
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	115mm dia drill holes with blasting using ANFO Slurry and Nonel initiating system proposed	Practiced as per proposal	
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Mechanized mine proposed using excavator - tipper combination.	1.2 cu m back hoe type excavator (1 no) & 2 no of 0.9 cu m bucket capacity excavator along 8 nos of tippers of 18T are being used. 1 drill machine and 2 compressor (I electrical and 1 diesel is used. The powder factor of 5 to 6 t/kg explosive has been obtained.	Vibration study need to be undertaken

31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM		9m max high benches are proposed. However the bech height of 8m is being maintained which is suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM with about 9.5 m boom height.	Excavator Tata Hitachi Zaxis 370
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	Area covered under mining till 2021-22= 45.709 ha.	2018-19 =45.048 ha	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	2018-19= 1:0	As per proposal	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Area degraded till 2022-23 Pit- 45.709 ha Infras- 0.205ha. Green belt 7.707 hectare.	Pit- 45.048ha. Infra and roads= 6.556 ha.	Area under infrastructure is reported wrongly.
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable		2014-15= 526843 T 2015-16=705670 T 2016-17= 502548 T 2017-18= 594636 T 2019-20=646400T	
3q 	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.		The markamma temple is just about 100m from the nearest working . The vibration study is required as per rule 38 of MCDR'17.	

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No. Item

Propasals Actual work

Remarks

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	of soil proposed in	No generation reported in 2018-19. The soil is being used for plantation at site.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	No dump proposed	No dump created so far of topsoil, OB or mineral reject.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	No dump proposed	No dump created of topsoil, OB or mineral reject is noticed.
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	No dump proposed	No dump created so far of topsoil, OB or mineral reject.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	Nil	Nil
4f	Number of dead dumps.	Nil	Nil
4g	Number of dumps established.	Nil	Nil
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	No retaining wall proposed	Not done
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	No proposal	Not done
4j	Number of settling ponds	No settling pond proposed	Not having any settling pond
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management		

# Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	There was no proposal of backfilling in the plan.	The marker bed is not exposed in pit-1 . however the bottom benches of pit-2 are in water. So the same couldnot be verified . However it has been reported that pink limestone is not exposed in pit-2 as well.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No proposal	No area is under backfilling at present.
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Top soil proposed for simultaneous use in plantation in 2018-19	NIL generated.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No proposal	Nil
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		The backfilling of the pit is not possible due to the lack of Over burden/ waste material. It can only be converted into water table

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	-	Not submitted.	
бb	for rehabilitation	No proposal for rehab. of worked out benches	Not Done	
бс	afforestation done (ha).	No proposal for rehab. of worked out benches	Not done	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year		Not done	

Nil

бе	Cumulative no .of plants	No proposal for rehab. of worked out benches	Nil
бf	Any other method of rehabilitation	-	-
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	-	-
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	No proposal for rehab. By backfilling	Not done
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No proposal for rehab. By backfilling	Not done
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	No proposal for rehab. By backfilling	Not done
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No proposal for rehab. By backfilling	Not done. However at the end of the deposit the it has to be converted into water reservoir.
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	2500 saplings over 1 ha proposed in 2018-19	5000 saplings planted over 2 ha in 2018-19
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	2500 saplings over 1 ha proposed in 2018-19	5000 saplings planted over about 2 ha. land

2500 saplings 5000 saplings planted бn Compliance of rehabilitation over about 2 ha. land over 1 ha of waste land proposed in in 2018-19 within lease 2018-19 NIL survival has (ii)Area been observed. rehabilitation However as per the (ha) records M/s KIL had procured plants from 60 Compliance of -do--do--dorehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation бр Compliance of Environmental monitoring environmental is done regularly. monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) бq General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	No proposal	No grade wise limestone sorting is undertaken	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	No proposal	Not Applicable	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	No proposal	Not Applicable	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	No proposal	Mineral processing is not done in the lease . Only sizing is done and that too at the crusher installed in cement plant.	

ronment:	

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks		
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	utilization of	No top soil generated in E 2018-19			
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Proposed	Not applicable as topsoil is not generated.			
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	No proposal	Noe done			
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No proposal	Not done			
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposal	Not Applicable			
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	2500 saplings over 1 ha proposed in 2018-19	gs 5000 saplings planted over about 2 ha. land in 2018-19, but survival need to be improved.			
8g	Survival rate	-	about 50% .			
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Yes	Water sprinkling done regularly with 5KL tanker.			

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns		M.R. Submitted up to Jan 2020 A.R. Submitted up to 2018-19	Jan prod- 58330T (YTD=466952T)

9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer - G Mahendra Geologist- B Maheswara	As per the submission
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Return Pit- 45.048 ha. Infra,Road=6.5	Area under pit is Broadly as per submission.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	5000 saplings with 60% survival within ML. reported in returns	However lessee has reportedly purchased the same from ITC Bhadrachalam.
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	NIL reported	NIL observed
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	of 646400lac	During inspection it was found to be as per submission
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost		CoP has been submitted as 177 Rs PMT with mining cost as 56.89 Rs PMT and ex mine price also submitted as 177 Rs PMT
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Submitted	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries		Machinery deployed as submitted

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out					
Violation observed			Show couse position		
Rule N	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	13/03/2020			
MCDR17	Rule 38	13/03/2020			
MCDR17	Rule 26(1)	13/03/2020			

Date :

#### (MANISH K MAINDIRATTA)

Indian Bureau of Mines